Evaluation and Benchmarks





Types of Evaluation Methods for Text Generation



Human Evaluations





Un-trained Metrics

Trained Metrics

Human Evalutions



- Most important form of evalation for NLG systems
- Automatic metrics fall short of replicating human decisions
- Gold standard in developing new automatic metrics

Human Evalutions: Issues



Expensive



Jan Time Consuming





Challenging Criteria



Inconsistency in Evaluations



Inconsistency in reporting

Intrinsic Human Evaluations

- Ask humans to evalute the quality of generated text
- Overall or along some specific dimension:
 - fluency
 - coherence
 - factuality and correctness
 - adequacy
 - commonsense
 - style / formality
 - grammaticality
 - typicality
 - redundancy

44

Extrinsic Human Evalutions

- Humans evaluate a system's performance on the task for which it was designed
- For instance, dialog systems are typically evaluated extrinsically!



Human Evaluations: Other Aspects

- Evaluators
- Inter-Annotator Aggreement
 - Percent agreement, Cohen's \varkappa , Fleiss's \varkappa , Krippendorff's α
- Evaluation experiment design
 - Side-by-side or singleton?
 - The amount context (e.g., dialog or summarization)
 - How many models to compare at a given time?

Untrained Automatic Evaluation Metrics



Untrained Automatic Evaluation Metrics



- Measure the effectiveness of the models that generate text
- Compute a score that indicates the similarity between generated and gold-standard (human-written) text
- Fast and efficient and widely used

Untrained Automatic Evaluation Metrics



- 1. *n*-gram overlap metrics
- 2. distance-based metrics
- 3. *n*-gram based diversity metrics
- 4. content overlap metrics

1. N-Gram Overlap Metrics

| Metric | Property | MT | IC | SR | SUM | DG | QG | RG |
|------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| BLEU | <i>n</i> -gram precision | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| NIST | <i>n</i> -gram precision | \checkmark | | | | | | |
| F-SCORE | precision and recall | \checkmark |
| WER | % of insert, delete, replace | | | \checkmark | | | | |
| ROUGE | <i>n</i> -gram recall | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | |
| METEOR | n-gram w/ synonym matching | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | | |
| HLEPOR | unigrams harmonic mean | \checkmark | | | | | | |
| RIBES | unigrams harmonic mean | | | | | | | |
| CIDER | tf-idf weighted n-gram similarity | | \checkmark | | | | | |
| EDIT DIST. | cosine similarity | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| TER | translation edit rate | \checkmark | | | | | | |
| WMD | earth mover distance on words | | \checkmark | | \checkmark | | | |
| SMD | earth mover distance on sentence | S | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | |
| PYRAMID | | | | \checkmark | | | | |
| SPICE | scene graph similarity | | \checkmark | | | | | |
| SPIDER | scene graph similarity | | \checkmark | | | | | |
| | MT: Machine Translation | G: Documen | it Genei | ration | RG: | Respons | e Genera | tion |
| | IC: Image Captioning | SUM: Sum | marizat | ion | QG: | Questior | n Generat | ion |



- Distance function to measure similarity between two text units
- Text units are represented as vectors \rightarrow embeddings!
- Even though embeddings are pretrained, distance metrics used to measure the similarity are not!

2. Distance Based Metrics



Edit Distance:

Measures how dissimilar two text units are based on the minimum number of operations required to transform one text into another.



Word Mover's Distance:

Measures the distance between two sequences (e.g., sentences, paragraphs, etc.), represented with relative word frequencies. It combines item similarity on bag-ofword histogram representations of text with word embedding similarity.



Vector Similarity:

Embedding based similarity for semantic distance between text.

MEANT YISI Word Movers Distance Sentence Movers similarity



Sentence Movers Similarity :

Based on Word Movers Distance to evaluate text in a continuous space using sentence embeddings (Clark, et.al. 2019)

3. *n*-gram Based Diversity Metrics



Type-to-Token Ratio (TTR):

- The ratio of types to tokens in a corpus: "The cat sat on the mat new the log fire" TTR = 8 /10
- Used to measure the lexical variety in a text:

The higher the TTR, the more varied the text vocabulary

3. *n*-gram Based Diversity Metrics



Type-to-Token Ratio (TTR):

- The ratio of types to tokens in a corpus:
 "The cat sat on the mat new the log fire" TTR = 8 /10
- Used to measure the lexical variety in a text:
 - The higher the TTR, the more varied the text vocabulary



Self-BLEU:

Measures the distance between generated sentence to reference or other generated sentences. Calculates BLEU score for every generated sentence and defines the average of these BLEU scores as the SELF-BLEU score. (Zhu et.al. 2018)

3. *n*-gram Based Diversity Metrics



Type-to-Token Ratio (TTR):

- The ratio of types to tokens in a corpus:
 "The cat sat on the mat new the log fire" TTR = 8 /10
- Used to measure the lexical variety in a text:
 - The higher the TTR, the more varied the text vocabulary



Self-BLEU:

Measures the distance between generated sentence to reference or other generated sentences. Calculates BLEU score for every generated sentence and defines the average of these BLEU scores as the SELF-BLEU score. (Zhu et.al. 2018)



Textual Lexical Diversity:

TTR can be sensitive to the length of the text. This metric (HD-D) assumes that if a text sample consists of many tokens of a specific word, then there is a high probability of drawing a text sample that contains at least one token of that word. Used to evaluate story generation and summarization tasks.

(McCarthy and Jarvis, 2010)

4- Content Overlap Metrics





"two women are sitting at a white table" "two women sit at a table in a small store" "two women sit across each other at a table smile for the photograph" "two women sitting in a small store like business" "two woman are sitting at a table"





PYRAMID:

- Semi-automatic metric for evaluating document summarization models.
- Requires reference text as well as human annoations for Summarization Content Units (SCU)
- SCUs are phrases labeled by human judges as, that express the text spans with the same meaning.

SPICE:

Semantic propositional image caption evaluation is an image captioning metric that initially parses the reference text to derive an abstract scene graph representation. The generated caption is also parsed and the parsed graphs are compared against each other using Fscore metric. (Anderson et.al. 2016)

SPIDER:

A combination of semantic graph similarity (SPICE) and *n*-gram similarity measure (CIDER), the SPICE metric yields a more complete quality evaluation metric. (Liu, et.al., 2017)

Machine Learnt Metrics

| | Dialog Response Generation | Image Captioning |
|--|--|--|
| Context | Speaker A: Hey John, what do you want to do tonight?Speaker B: Why don't we go see a movie? | |
| Ground-Truth | Response: Nah, I hate that stuff, let's | Caption: a man wearing a red life |
| 2.3.4.5 (127) (AACCOMMENDED - TELETER (AND AND AND A | do something active. | jacket is sitting in a canoe on a lake |
| Model/Distorted Output | Response: Oh sure! Heard the film | Caption: a guy wearing a life vest is in |
| 5- | about Turing is out! | a small boat on a lake |
| BLEU | 0.0 | 0.20 |
| ROUGE | 0.0 | 0.57 |
| WMD | 0.0 | 0.10 |

Machine Learnt Evaluation Metrics



- 1. Sentence similarity metrics
- 2. Regression Based Metrics
- 3. Learning from Human Feedback
- 4. BERT-Based Evaluation
- 5. Composite Metrics
- 6. Factual Correctness metrics

Machine Learnt Evaluation Metrics



- 1. Sentence similarity metrics
- 2. Regression Based Metrics
- 3. Learning from Human Feedback
- 4. BERT-Based Evaluation
- 5. Composite Metrics
- 6. Factual Correctness metrics

Sentence Similarity Metrics



Learning from Human Feedback



ADEM:

- A learned metric from human judgments for dialog system evaluation in a chatbot setting.
- A latent variational recurrent encoder-decoder model is pretrained on dialog dataset
- The model is trained to evaluate the similarity between the dialog context, reference response and the generated response.



HUSE:

Human Unified with Statistical Evaluation (HUSE), fetermines the similarity of the output distribution and a human generation reference distribution. (Hashimoto et.al. 2019)



OPENAI – Learning to Summarize with Human Feedback:

A reinforcement learning (RL) based evalation framework with human feedback to train language models that are better at summarization Reward model via supervised learning predicts which summaries humans will prefer. Then a fine-tuned language model with RL produces summaries that score highly according to that reward model. (Lowe, et.al., 2020)

BERT Based Evaluation

BERTSCORE:

- Leverages the pre-trained contextual embeddings from BERT and matches words in candidate and reference sentences by cosine similarity.
- Computes precision, recall, and F1 measures, which are useful for evaluating a range of NLG tasks.
- It has been shown to correlate well with human judgments on sentence-level and system-level evaluations.

Contextual **Pairwise Cosine Importance Weighting Maximum Similarity** Embedding Similarity (Optional) 30.597 0.428 0.408 1.2 the Reference \mathcal{X} 0.462 0.393 0.515 0.326 weather the weather is Reference 858 0.441 0.441 cold today (0.713×1.27)+(0.515×7.94)+... $R_{\rm BERT}$ cold 1 27+7 94+1 82+7 90+8 85 Candidate \hat{x} today 0.347 0.361 0.307 •• it is freezing today weights

(Zhang et.al. 2020)



BLEURT:

- A checkpoint from BERT is taken and fine-tuned on synthetically generated sentence pairs using automatic evaluation scores such as BLEU or ROUGE, and then further fine-tuned on system-generated outputs and human-written references using human ratings and automatic metrics as labels.
- The fine-tuning of BLEURT on synthetic pairs is an important step because it improves the robustness to quality drifts of generation systems.
- (Sellam et.al. 2020)

Trained Factual Correctness Metrics



Factual Consistency

Models are generating increasingly convincing text...



A device called the crow box could enable bird watchers to make money from their hobby as well As watch birds develop new skills.

The training aid can be used for teaching bullied crows how to collect coins in return of peanuts or simply test wild corvids' intelligence. CNN\DM news summary generated from T5 language model

Factual Consistency

However this text is often very extractive or factually incorrect

A device called the crow box could enable bird watchers to make money from their hobby as well As watch birds develop new skills.

The training aid can be used for teaching **bullied crows** how to collect coins in return of peanuts or simply test wild corvids' intelligence.

Snippets from article

The sight of birds pecking at seed or nuts from a garden feeder fills many people with joy . Now , a device called the crow box could enable bird watchers to make money from their hobby.

... the training aid can be used to teach crows to collect coins in return for peanuts , or simply test the intelligence of wild corvids .

Factually Inconsistent Summaries

Generated Summary

A solar system has landed in the US stat of Ohio.

Reference Summary

Solar impulse has landed in the US state of Ohio following the 12th stage of its circumnavigation of the globe.

A lorry has been caught on camera overtaking a van at Grasshoppers' Park.

Irish President Leo Varadkar has said he is "very happy" with the way he is treating Canada.

Factually Inconsistent Summaries

Reference Summary Generated Summary Solar impulse has landed in the US A solar system has landed in the US state of Ohio following the 12th stage stat of Ohio. of its circumnavigation of the globe. Solar systems don't land on states. Solar impulse is a plane not a solar system. A lorry has been caught on camera overtaking a van at Grasshoppers' Park. Wrong location, this happened in Lincolnshire. Irish President Leo Varadkar has said he is "very happy" with the way he is treating Canada.

Varadkar is a prime minister, and he never said this (at least in the article).

Most Factual Correctness Metrics rely on:

| Keyword overlap, ignoring structure | Ngram-based metrics like ROUGE (Lin et al., 2014) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| Contextual similarity | Metrics like BertScore (Zhang et al., 2020) and BLEURT (Sellam et al., 2020) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Proxy objective for coherence (and factuality?) | NLI metrics, Cloze task metrics and QA metrics like SummaQA (Scialom et al., 2020) | | | | |

Trained Factual Correctness Metrics

- SummaQA: BERT-based question-answering model to answer cloze-style questions using generated summaries. Named entities in source documents are masked to generate questions. (Scialom et.al. 2020)
- BLANC: as a measure of how well a summary helps an independent pre-trained language model while it performs its language understanding task on a document. (Vasilyev et.al. 2020)
- QAGS : a question-answering and generation based automatic evaluation protocol that is designed to identify factual inconsistencies in a generated summary. They use fairseq for generation and BERT for QA model as a backbone (Wang et.al., 2020)



Summary of Challenges of Evaluating Text Generation



Making evaluation explainable



Detecting machinegenerated text



Detecting and fake news



Improve corpus quality



Standardizing evaluation methods



Developing effective human evaluations



Evaluating ethical issues



- Support research on open-domain text generation models.
- Evaluate the *diversity*, the *quality* and the *consistency* of the generated texts on various datasets/domains
- Facilitate *sharing* of fine-tuned open-source implementations among researchers



- Text generation benchmarks:
 - Generic text evaluation tasks
 - Specific text generation tasks
 - Machine Translation, Dialog Modeling, Summarization, etc.



generic text evaluation tasks

General Text Evaluation Platforms

| Features | OpenML | Kaggle | Topcoder | CrowdAI | ParlAI | CodaLab | EvalAI |
|-------------------------|-----------|--------|----------|---------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| AI Challenge Hosting | | | | | | | |
| Custom Metrics | | | | | \checkmark | $\mathbf{\nabla}$ | $\overline{\checkmark}$ |
| Multiple phrases/splits | | | | | | | |
| Open Source | \square | | | | | | $\mathbf{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}$ |
| Remote Evaluation | | | | | | | |
| Human Evaluation | | | | | | | |
| Environments | | | | | | | |





task specific text evaluation platforms



DialoGLUE

Dialogue Language Understanding Evaluation

- •Banking
- •HWU
- •Clinc
- •Restaurant8k
- •DSTC8 SGD
- •TOP
- •MultiWOZ 2.1



- SeqGAN <u>SeqGAN: Sequence Generative Adversarial Nets with Policy Gradient</u>
- MaliGAN <u>Maximum-Likelihood Augmented Discrete Generative Adversarial Networks</u>
- RankGAN Adversarial ranking for language generation
- LeakGAN Long Text Generation via Adversarial Training with Leaked Information
- TextGAN Adversarial Feature Matching for Text Generation
- GSGAN GANS for Sequences of Discrete Elements with the Gumbel-softmax Distribution

https://github.com/geek-ai/Texygen

WMT: Workshop on Machine Translation



- Builds on a series of annual workshops and conferences on statistical machine translation, going back to 2006
- It features shared tasks, evaluation metrics and datasets.
- BLUE has been standardized as MT evaluation metric in WMT

http://www.statmt.org/

Sitemap

- <u>SMT Book</u>
- <u>Research Survey Wiki</u>
- <u>Moses MT System</u>
- Europarl Corpus
- <u>News Commentary Corpus</u>
- Online Evaluation
- Online Moses Demo
 Translation Tool
- <u>Translation Tool</u>
- <u>WMT Workshop 2014</u>
 WMT Workshop 2012
- <u>WMT Workshop 2013</u>
 WMT Workshop 2013
- <u>WMT Workshop 2012</u>
 WMT Workshop 2011
- WMT Workshop 2011
 WMT Workshop 2010
- WMT Workshop 2010
 WN TT We had a 2000
- WMT Workshop 2009
 Win Win Line 2009
- WMT Workshop 2008
 WINTE Workshop 2008
- <u>WMT Workshop 2007</u>
 WMT Workshop 2006
- <u>WMT Workshop 2006</u>
 <u>WPT Workshop 2005</u>
- <u>ACL SIG MT</u>
- Edinburgh SMT Group
- <u>SE Times Corpus</u>

Statistical Machine Translation

This website is dedicated to research in statistical machine translation, i.e. the translation of text from one human language to another by a computer that learned how to translate from vast amounts of translated text.

Introduction to Statistical MT Research

- The Mathematics of Statistical Machine Translation by Brown, Della Petra, Della Pietra, and Mercer
- Statistical MT Handbook by Kevin Knight
- <u>SMT Tutorial (2003)</u> by Kevin Knight and Philipp Koehn
- ESSLLI Summer Course on SMT (2005), day1, 2, 3, 4, 5 by Chris Callison-Burch and Philipp Koehn.
- <u>MT Archive</u> by John Hutchins, electronic repository and bibliography of articles, books and papers on topics in machine translation and computer-based translation tools

Conferences and Workshops

See comprehensive list of NLP meetings.

Software

- Giza++ a training tool for IBM Model 1-5 (version for gcc-4)
- Moses, a complete SMT system
- UCAM-SMT, the Cambridge Statistical Machine Translation system
- Phrasal, a toolkit for phrase-based SMT
- cdec, a decoder for syntax-based SMT
- Joshua, a decoder for syntax-based SMT
- · Jane, decoder for syntax-based SMT
- Pharaoh a decoder for phrase-based SMT
- Rewrite a decoder for IBM Model 4
- <u>BLEU scoring tool</u> for machine translation evaluation

Parallel Corpora

- <u>LDC</u> Linguistic Data Consortium
- · Canadian Hancarde

http://www.statmt.org/



SummEval

- Provides data and evaluation platform for summarization tasks
- Enables benchmarks for more than 10 different trained and untrained evaluation metrics

Lifelong Open-Domain Dialog Learning

| uman (grounds keeper) | Model (assistant chef) | Human (angel) | Model (merchant) |
|--|---|---|---|
| Location: Arch Hall. The main beauty of this room is Four massive arches surround the four walls of this r from each side. There are book shelves covered in o | it's Arches. oom falling Id books | angel: I am seeking knowledge, I wish to un deeply. | derstand humanity more |
| Persona: I am a groundskeeper at the castle. My job the King's land immaculate. I have worked here for n since I was a young boy. I do hard labor. | n is to keep hany years, | merchant: angel: Why does humanity quarrel and fight short time together? Isn't love a more fruitfu | Well, what is it you wish to learn most? |
| grounds keeper: Good morning sir assistant chef: Hello, kee | eper. What may I call you? | merchant: It is a necessary ev course with no real intervention suffer and it seems unfair when | il, as the world is meant to run it's n. This means that good people can n it really isn't avoidable. |
| grounds keeper: My name is Skylar | | angel: I see. Thank you merchant for your vyou? | visdom. How can I repay |
| assistant chef: Excellent choice. I have bredwell across the sea. Would you like | a new sauce recipe from to try it? | merchant: I don't really need a already my biggest wish. | nything my angel, being with you is |
| grounds keeper: How kind of you, I would love to try | / it. | | |
| assistant chef: Of course. I will prepare be disappointed. | it right away. You won't | Human (lighthouse keeper) | Model (mischievous teenager wait to play a trick on those rich |
| grounds keeper : I don't believe you could ever disa Chef. Your food is always delicious | opoint me, | lighthouse keeper: What kind of trick are w | ve talkin'? |
| assistant chef: I am glad you appreciate particular about the kinds of sauces that guests. | e it. The king is very he wants to serve the | mischievous teenager: I dont on the knights inside the churc so much!! | knowCan I use you to play a prank h? I hate those rich snobby knights |
| grounds keeper: Thank you for allowing me to try it. he guests and the King will enjoy the sauce as well. agger to see how it tastes. | I do believe I myself, am | lighthouse keeper: Whats the plan you go | t then? |
| assistant chef: It should | be ready within the hour. | mischlevous teenager: Yeah knightsl I got a shoe too so I ca distracted. | exactly. Anything to annoy those In throw at them when they are |
| grounds keeper: I will be back then, I must check up grounds in the mean time. | oon the | lighthouse keeper: [face palm] alright lad, you're going to pull of a prank I've got a rea gonna need some rope, some oil, glue and | that's kind of lame, if I good idea, you're feathers |

References and Additional Reading

[1] Evaluation of Text Generation, Asli Celikyilmaz, Elizabeth Clark, Jianfeng Gao